

Hello

Thank you for stopping by and offering your comments.

In 1905, professor Sergyei Nilus, an official of the Dept. of Foreign Religions at Moscow, published a book containing what was purported to be *THE PROTOCOLS OF THE MEETINGS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION*. A copy of the original Nilus publication sits in the British Museum in London, bearing the date of its reception, August 10, 1906. These Protocols are said to give the substance of addresses delivered in Switzerland in 1897 to the innermost circle of the rulers of International Jewry. They are said to reveal the secret plan for world domination as concocted by the Jewish Nation for centuries, as developed through the ages and edited by their Elders up to that date. The Jews, of course, have vehemently denied that such a meeting of "*Learned Elders*" ever took place, or that any kind of secret Jewish international organization or conspiracy exists. And though they claim that the Protocols are forgeries (*the definition of forgery is an unauthorized copy of the original! In this respect, and since the Jews didn't want their existence known to the world, they probably ARE forgeries!*), and have spent a fortune to suppress and discredit them, even making it illegal to possess them in some countries, they have never attempted to address or answer the real question that the existence of such a document raises: Have they fulfilled the Protocols while claiming they did not write them? Yes.

Then, what is the difference whether they wrote them or not?

Many scholars have noted the correspondence between the prophecies of the Protocols and their fulfillment. We can see the Protocols being carried out word-by-word in the world-power the Jews at the top have achieved. These are the incredibly motivated schemers who were involved in the worldwide conspiracy to create Israel. They controlled the media to accomplish that, and they still own all of it.

The Jews at the top, the ones who are conspirators against all of mankind today and always have gained power and maintain it through world domination and control of all means of communication (the media.) What these Jewish power brokers have attained today is too glaring to be set aside or deemed as merely coincidental. Most Jews, of course, realize this even though they are uninvolved. Yet they support the Protocols out of national Jewish Pride, and therefore always evade this aspect of the Protocols entirely.

When the Protocols were first published, they were the typescript of a drama which had not yet been performed. But today it has been running for over eighty years, and its title is "*The Twentieth Century*."

The ideas of power development depicted in it move on our contemporary stage, play the parts foretold and produce the events foreseen. So even if you don't believe in the authenticity of this remarkable document, one thing you can't deny is their fulfillment.

You can toss the Protocols out the window if you want, but you can't deny the fact that everything they plotted, planned and predicted has either already happened, or is happening now. This is the greatest proof of their authenticity: That they are now fulfilled. The Protocols describe what the "*Learned Elders of Zion*" planned to do almost 100 years ago and have accomplished.

Many of those who object to the publication of the "*Learned Elders of Zion*" claim that it was determined by a court of the Soviet Union that the Protocols were a fraud. But a problem arises with this conclusion in that the courts of the Soviet Union were under the control of Joseph Stalin, who himself was a "*Jew*." As Stalin and his gang of hoods was financed by Jacob Schiff (*who himself was also a "Jew"*) to overthrow the government of the Czar of Russia, Stalin had an interest in the decision of the court.

It appears that you take offense that the "*Learned Elders of Zion*" makes reference to the "*Jews*." Why is that?

Is it not interesting that the word "*Jew*" did not exist until the second printing of the King James Holy Bible in 1787? You will not find the word "*Jew*" in the Hebrew text nor the Greek text of the Holy Bible.

As used in the King James Holy Bible, the word "*Jew*" is used to describe three separate events:

1. the term "*Jew*" is used to describe one who is an inhabitant (*citizen*) of the country "*Judea*" just as the term "*American*" describes an inhabitant of the United States. As used in this context, the term "*Jew*" describes many races of people.
2. the term "*Jew*" is also used to describe one who is a member of the tribe of Judah of the House of Israel. I believe the correct term to be used would be "*Hebrew*."
3. the term "*Jew*" also describes one who is a descendent of Esau, the father of the Edomites. The Holy Bible tells us that God "*hated*" Esau and his descendants. Edomites are still in this world. King Hared was a "*Jew*" and yet Strong Concordance tells us that he was an Edomite.

Only you can tell me what the term "*Jew*" means. It is obvious that the author of the "*Learned Elders of Zion*" is making reference to those who are the descendants of Esau.

I am not the one who wrote the "*Learned Elders of Zion*" and as such, I have no authority to alter them. They are a historical fact and as they have proven themselves to be true, they will remain on my web site.

Sincerely  
Gordon Epperly